UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,320	02/25/2005	Beverly Cusick Taylor	PGW-100A	3559
28304 JEAN M. MAC		03/26/2010	EXAMINER	
501 SKYSAIL			NGUYEN, CAMTU TRAN	
SUITE B100 FORT COLLIN	IS, CO 80525-3133	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3772	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/26/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/526,320	TAYLOR, BEVERLY CUSICK		
	Examiner	Art Unit		

	Camtu T. Nguyen	3772					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 March 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWO				
xtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  IOTICE OF APPEAL							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be  (a) They raise new issues that would require further core  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second c	isideration and/or search (see NO w); er form for appeal by materially red orresponding number of finally rej	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying tl					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.124.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed the second of the second o	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co		,				
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed: 4.  Claim(s) objected to: 2,3,5-9,11,14,20 and 22-28.  Claim(s) rejected: 1,10,12,13 and 21.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).				
10.		•					
See Continuation Sheet.  2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  3. Other:							
/Patricia Bianco/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3772	/Camtu T. Nguyen/ Examiner, Art Unit 3772						

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Independent claims 1 & 12 now require the garments to be unitary style, of which limitations will require reconsideration and/or further search, as this newly added limitation was set forth on the merits in the Final Action (1/6/2010).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's remarks against the Clement reference in the After Final (3/8/10) have been carefully considered but the claims are deemed not allowable. Specifically, applicant remarked that the Clement reference discloses two-piece shoulder saddle made up of a stiff flexible metal piece. Applicant amended independent claims 1 & 12 now requiring the garments are of unitary stiyle, hence, such amendment has distinguished over the Clement reference

The Examiner respectfully disgrees.

In response, the Clement reference discloses a first garment of shoulder saddle & a second garment of an arm cuff. The shoulder saddle garment comprising padding (21) which can be formed of a sponger rubber or polymeric material (coumn 2 lines 60-62), rendering the shoulder saddle having elasticized properties. The arm cuff garment comprising an elastic band (51), therefore rendering the arm cuff garment having elasticized properties.

Regarding independent claims 1 & 12 now reciting the garments are of unitary style, applicant remarked the disclosure support for this newly added limitation is found in pages 5, 7, 10-11 and throughout applicant's Figures. These citations although provides disclosure support for the garments being unitary style, however, does not provide a definition of unitary.

The Examiner relied on the definition for the term unitary from a reliable source of dictionary.com, which defines unitary as pertaining to a unit or aiming toward unity. With this in mind, each of the garments in the Clement reference is of unitary style, together, the garments aim toward unity of an arm support.

Therefore, the Clement reference applied in 102 rejection in the previous Office Action stand rejected.

applicant remarked that